Year: 2021-2022

```
Program: Counseling
```

Dept. Chair:

Dr. Clinton Smith

Date: 10/26/2022

Student Learning Outcome 1	Assessment	Benchmark	Process (Who, How, When, Where)
At the completion of the program,	Comprehensive Exam	Comprehensive Exam: Individual	Students having completed each of the 8
students will demonstrate sufficient		students should earn 70% overall score;	core courses (710, 716, 721, 722, 725,
knowledge of content within the eight		70% on each of the eight sections of the	
common core curricular areas		exam. Overall, 75% of students taking	exam. The Comprehensive Exam is
(professional orientation and ethical		the exam should meet these individual	offered in all three semesters of the
practice, social and cultural diversity,		benchmarks.	calendar year.
human growth and development, career			The Educational Studies Graduate
development, helping relationships,			Student Services Coordinator
group work, assessment, and research			administers the Comp Exam and creates
and program development)			a spread sheet outlining results,
			including item by item and content area
			measures.
			In August of every year the counseling
			faculty will analyze the data.

DATA RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Comprehensive Exam:

	Average total score	Percent Passing
Fall 2021	112	63% (10 out of 16)
Spring 2022	116	57% (4 out of 7)
Fall Retake	124	60% (3 out of 5)
Spring Retake	114	33% (1 out of 3)
Summer 2022	129	86% (6 out of 7)
Total	119	First Time pass rate = 63%

Average Scores on Comprehensive Exam Sections

	Developmental	Legal & Ethical	Theories	Career	Group	Assessment	Research	Multicultural
Fall 2021	66%	75%	76%	73%	80%	65%	56%	69%
Spring 2022	75%	76%	80%	71%	76%	76%	66%	64%
Summer 2022	86%	85%	80%	85%	83%	86%	65%	78%

Overall First Time %	76%	79%	79%	76%	80%	76%	62%	70%
Fall 2021 Retake	81%	90%	88%	80%	84%	64%	65%	68%
Spring 2022 Retake	73%	68%	80%	78%	80%	70%	58%	63%
Overall Retake %	77%	79%	86%	79%	82%	67%	62%	66%
Overall %	77%	79%	83%	78%	81%	72%	62%	68%

Overall Percent of Students Earning 70% or Higher on Each Content Section of Comp Exam

	Developmental	Legal &	Theories	Career	Group	Assessment	Research	Multicultural
		Ethical						
Fall	44% (7 of 16)	63% (10 of	75% (12 of	63% (10 of	56% (9 of	63% (10 of	38% (6 of	63% (10 of
2021		16)	16)	16)	16)	16)	16)	16)
Spring	71% (5 of 7)	57% (4 of 7)	71% (5 of	71% (5 of	86% (6 of	71% (5 of 7)	57% (4 of 7)	29% (2 of 7)
2022			7)	7)	7)			
Summer	86% (6 of 7)	86% (6 of 7)	86% (6 of	86% (6 of	86% (6 of	100% (7 of	57% (4 of 7)	71% (5 of 7)
2022			7)	7)	7)	7)		
Retake	100% (5 of 5)	100% (5 of	100% (5 of	80% (4 of	100% (5 of	20% (1 of 5)	60% (3 of 5)	60% (3 of 5)
Fall.		5)	5)	5)	5)			
Retake	67% (2 of 3)	33% (1 of 3)	100% (3 of	67% (2 of	100% (3 of	33% (1 of 3)	33% (1 of 3)	33% (1 of 3)
Sp.			3)	3)	3)			
Overall	66% (25 of	68% (26 of	82% (31	71% (27	76% (29	63% (24 of	47% (18 of	55% (21 of
%	38)	38)	of 38)	of 38)	of 38)	38)	38)	38)

Percent of Students Earning 70% or Higher on Each Content Section of Comp Exam

Percent
19% (3 of 16)
14% (1 of 7)
43% (3 of 7)
20% (1 of 5)
33% (1 of 3)
23% (9 of 38)

Analysis: All students passed the comprehensive exam, 94% of them on the first attempt. The average score of 119 is less than the average score from 2020-2021 (123), equals the average score from 2019-20 (119) and surpasses the score from 2018-19 (114). Section scores decreased for first time test takers from the

2020-2021 exam for 7 of the 8 areas: Legal & Ethical, Theories, Career, Group, Assessment, Research and Multicultural. The scores were identical for the remaining section: Developmental. Students continue to struggle in general with earning 70% or greater on each section, though the percentage of students doing so (23%) is greater than in 2019-20 (6%) or 2018-19 (7%) by a significant margin but less than the percentage in 2020-2021 (29%).

HOW HAS THE DATA BEEN USED TO IMPLEMENT A CHANGE OR TO INFORM A DECISION?

Comprehensive Exam: Students continue to struggle passing this exam. It appears to be helpful to allow students to take the comprehensive exam after they have completed the 8 core courses, rather than having them take the exam at the end of the program. A new full-time counseling faculty member was hired in the Spring 2021 and is teaching several of the core courses. We discussed the need for him to review his course objectives, assignments, and items on the comp exam that relate to his courses. One of the monthly "Coffee with Counselors" webinars will focus on preparation for the comprehensive exam. This was presented in September Fall 2021 prior to the first administration of the comprehensive exam for the 2021-2022 school year. A revised comprehensive exam was developed over the Summer 2022 and will be administered beginning Fall 2022.

Student Learning Outcome 2	Assessment	Benchmark	Process (Who, How, When, Where)
Students will demonstrate effective	Final Site Supervisor Evaluations in	Site Supervisor Evaluations: earn an	The instructor for each course will
counseling skills in their coursework	practicum (COUN 785) and each of	evaluation at the Beginner level or	collect all site supervisor evaluations
and during their field experiences.	the internship courses (788, 789, 790,	better on all items related to counseling	and compile the data.
	792).	skills	
			The instructor for 785 and 725 will
	Students will complete four counseling		assess each student's role play, collect,
	role plays in COUN 785 and three role	the individual four role plays and 90%	and compile those results at the end of
	plays in COUN 725 and be assessed	overall average in COUN 785	each semester.
	using a rubric.		
		80% for each of the individual role	In August of each year the counseling
		plays and 80% overall average in	faculty will collect and analyze all data.
		COUN 725	

DATA RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

	Practie	cum	Inte	ernship		Total	
	СМН	SC	СМН	SC	Prac	Intern	All
Fall 2021	100% (3 of3)	100% (12 of 12)	Average of 100% (5 of 5)	100% (13 of 13)	100% (13 of 13)	100% (27 of 27)	100% (40 of 40)
Spring 2022	Average of 100% (9 of 9)	100% (11 of 11)	Average of 100% (8 of 8)	100% (21 of 21)	100% (6 of 6)	100% (27 of 27)	100% (33 of 33)
Summer 2022	Average of 100% (3 out of 3)		Average of 100% (9 of 9)				
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100% (19 of 19)	100% (54 of 54)	100% (73 of 73)

Site Supervisor Evaluation Analysis: Our students continue to earn high marks from their site supervisors. Students are demonstrating effective counseling skills at their placement sites.

Role Plays

Fall 2021

In Fall 2021 four role plays were required in COUN 785. There were 4 separate sections of the course, 1 clinical mental health section comprised of 3 students, and 3 separate school counseling sections. Clinical mental health students used Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy in each role play. School Counseling students used Solution-Focused Brief Counseling in each role play.

	Role Play 1	Role Play 2	Role Play 3	Role Play 4	Total
School Counseling	7 of 12 (58%)	11 of 12 (92%)	11 of 12 (92%)	10 of 12 (83%)	39 of 48 (60%)
Clinical Ment. Hlth.	2 of 3 (67%)	2 of 3 (67%)	3 of 3 (100%)	2 of 3 (67%)	9 of 12 (75%)
Total	9 of 15 (60%)	13 of 15 (87%)	14 of 15 (93%)	12 of 15 (80%)	48 of 60 (62%)

In COUN 725 three role plays were required. 6 students were enrolled in the course: 3 school counseling students, 3 clinical mental health students. A benchmark of 80% on each role play was established. In the first role play, students were allowed to use any theoretical orientation, or none at all. The first role play's intent is to build the core skills that counselors need to form a strong therapeutic alliance with clients. This was an opportunity to observe the student using his or her preferred, or natural, counseling style. In the second role play, students were allowed to use any theoretical orientation, including Motivational Interviewing, while building on their core skills from role play 1. In the third role plays students were asked to choose a theory from those studied in the course. This allowed students to practice a preferred theoretical orientation, after first completing assignments related to that theory.

|--|

School Counseling	3 of 3 (100%)	3 of 3 (100%)	3 of 3 (100%)	9 of 9 (100%)
Clinical Ment. Hlth.	2 of 3 (67%)	3 of 3 (100%)	2 of 3 (67%)	7 of 9 (78%)
Total	5 of 6 (83%)	6 of 6 (100%)	5 of 6 (83%)	16 of 18 (89%)

Spring 2022

In Spring 2022 two sections of COUN 785 were offered: one for school counseling students and one for clinical mental health students. 4 clinical mental health students took the COUN 785 practicum course, which was taught by an adjunct instructor for the first time. This instructor omitted the role play assignments from the course without consulting the counseling program coordinator However two audio/video recordings of students working with real clients were required. Those grades will be used her to assess clinical mental health students' ability to use counseling skills, with a benchmark of 90%. 11 school counseling students completed the school counseling section of COUN 785. They were required to complete 4 role plays and one audio/video recording of a session with a student at their placement. Both sets of data will be reported here.

	Audio/Video #1	Audio/Video #2	Total	
Clinical Mental Health	2 of 4 (50%)	4 of 4 (100%)	6 of 8 (75%)	
School Counseling	11 of 11 (100%)		11 of 11 (100%)	
Total	6 of 10 (60%)			

	Role Play 1	Role Play 2	Role Play 3	Role Play 4	Total
Clinical Mental Health	0 of 9 (100%)	0 of 9 (100%)	2 of 9 (22%)	9 of 9 (100%)	11 of 36 (31%)
School Counseling	11 of 11 (100%)	44 of 44 (100%)			
Total	11 of 11 (100%)	44 of 44 (100%)			

In Spring 2021, 11 students took COUN 725: 6 CMH students, and 5 SC students.

In COUN 725 three role plays were required. 6 students were enrolled in the course: 3 school counseling students, 3 clinical mental health students. A benchmark of 80% on each role play was established. In the first role play, students were allowed to use any theoretical orientation, or none at all. The first role play's intent is to build the core skills that counselors need to form a strong therapeutic alliance with clients. This was an opportunity to observe the student using his or her preferred, or natural, counseling style. In the second role play, students were allowed to use any theoretical orientation, including Motivational Interviewing, while building on their core skills from role play 1. In the third role plays students were asked to choose a theory from those studied in the course. This allowed students to practice a preferred theoretical orientation, after first completing assignments related to that theory.

this and we statemes to pretented alcoretical orientation, after this compressing assignments related to that theory.							
	Role Play 1	Role Play 2	Role Play 3	Total			
School Counseling	4 of 5 (80%)	3 of 5 (60%)	5 of 5 (100%)	11 of 15 (73%)			
Clinical Ment. Hlth.	5 of 6 (83%)	4 of 6 (67%)	5 of 6 (83%)	14 of 18 (78%)			
Total	9 of 11 (82%)	7 of 11 (64%)	10 of 11 (91%)	26 of 33 (79%)			
	,	,					

Role Play Analysis: Students demonstrated effective progress in their role play assignments, with grades generally improving as students gained more experience and were provided feedback.

Summer 2022

COUN 785

(Only 3 role plays were done over the summer given the shorter nature of the term).

	Role Play 1	Role Play 2	Role Play 3	Total
Clinical Mental Health	0 of 3 (0%)			

HOW HAS THE DATA BEEN USED TO IMPLEMENT A CHANGE OR TO INFORM A DECISION?

Site Supervisor Evaluations

The CMH site supervisor evaluation was revised and now mirrors the SC form in terms of format. The use of LiveText to allow all supervisors to electronically submit their evaluations was being explored. However, Livetext is no longer used by the Educational Studies department, so the counseling program is now exploring the use of Via. The hope is that site supervisors will have access to Via for each individual course. They will be able to complete these forms electronically so that specific data can be gathered more easily. Via will also provide a way for supervisors to log and verify the number of hours completed onsite by the student. The faculty is optimistic that this reporting system will create a reliable and efficient database of information about the field experiences in the program and simplify the reporting process for site supervisors.

Role Plays

<u>COUN 785</u>

The focus on students completing each role play using one specific theory has been effective. School counseling students focus on solution-focused brief counseling with a specific assigned book to use as a reference. Clinical mental health counseling students focus on cognitive-behavioral therapy, with a specific assigned book to use as a reference.

COUN 725

Students performed well in their role plays in this course. For many students this is the first course in the program requiring them to demonstrate counseling skills and techniques. Due to the introductory nature of these assignments, it may be more realistic to reduce the benchmark to 80%. In addition, the new rubrics added in the Fall semester result in stricter grading of these assignments. Students in both semesters commented favorably on the type of feedback provided to them on the role plays. A new faculty member was hired Spring 2021 who is now teaching this course. The instructor has decided to meet individually with each student to conduct the first role play together, with the instructor serving as the role play "client". Feedback is then provided immediately afterward, allowing for discussion. It is hoped that this activity will help students sharpen their counseling skills.

Student Learning Outcome 3	Assessment	Benchmark	Process (Who, How, When, Where)
	Site supervisor evaluations at the	Site Supervisor Evaluation: Students	The instructor for each field placement
code of their counseling professional	practicum and internship level	will score beginning level or better on	
association when completing			evaluations and compile the data.
coursework and field experiences.	Dispositions after residency	evaluation	
		Dispositions: 90% on items related to	Fach faculty member will complete a
		1	Dispositions form for each student
			attending the Residency. The forms
			will be collected by the Graduate
			Program Coordinator and the results
			entered.
			In August of every year, the
			counseling faculty will evaluate the
			results of the data at a faculty data
			retreat.

DATA RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Site Supervisor Evaluations

The evaluation forms for Clinical Mental Health students and School Counseling students are not the same. For the CMH students, questions 7-15 as well as the last two questions will be used for this analysis. For the School Counseling students, the first 17 questions will be used for this analysis.

	Percent of Students Earning a rating of "Beginning" or better on Site Supervisor Evaluation on Ethical Items								
	Practicum		Internship		Total				
	СМН	SC	CMH	SC	Prac	Intern	All		
Fall 2021	100% (3 of 3)	100% (12 of 12)	100% (5 of 5)	100% (13 of 13)	100% (15 of 15)	100% (18 of	100%		
						18)	(33 of 33)		
Spring 2022	100% (4 of 4)	100% (11 of 11)	8 of 8 (100%)	100% (21 of 21)	100% (12 of 12)	100% (32 of	100% (44 of 44)		
						32)			
Summer	100% (3 of 3)		9 of 9 (100%)		100% (3 of 3)	9 of 9 (100%)	12 of 12 (100%)		
2022									
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100% (19 of 19)	100% (54 of	100% (73 of 73)		
						54)			

.

Analysis of Site Supervisor Evaluations:

Students continue to perform well in this area of professional development, demonstrating effective ethical behavior at their practicum and internship sites.

Dispositions

The Residency is a 3-day experience held at UTM. All students must attend one Residency program. Faculty interact with students during the Residency during formal and informal activities and presentations. Each faculty member also conducts an interview with each individual student. At the conclusion of the Residency, each counseling faculty member evaluates each student using a Dispositions Form. There are two Residency events each school year, one in January, and one in June.

The counseling faculty continued to use the Dispositions form, which was piloted in Summer 2019. This form used a categorical scoring system. Students were rated as MC (meets competency), NM (does not meet competency) or NO (not observed). There are 21 items included on the form, and thirteen of those items directly measure code of ethics. Those 13 items will be used for this analysis. Items were chosen that reflected the faculty's understanding of counseling dispositions, rather than skills or knowledge, and the ability to observe those dispositions at different points in the student's progression through the program.

All students attending Residency in January 2022 (13 students) and June 2022 (19 students) achieved a rating of MC (meets competency) for each of the 13 items observed at Residency.

Analysis of Dispositions Data: Our students continue to meet our expectations in terms of professional and personal dispositions.

HOW HAS THE DATA BEEN USED TO IMPLEMENT A CHANGE OR TO INFORM A DECISION?

Site Supervisor Evaluations

Our students continue to earn strong evaluations from site supervisors. We will continue to communicate regularly with our site supervisors and support them as they work with our students. If any ethical issues arise, university supervisors are available to meet with students as needed. Additionally, university supervisors lead a 90-minute group supervision meeting each week with all interns, and ethical issues are often a topic of discussion for the time together.

Dispositions

Our students consistently display proficiency in their dispositions. Regarding residency, no student during this past year had a negative disposition report, nor needed any further action to correct an issue observed at residency. The counseling faculty will continue to monitor student dispositions upon the completion of residency as well as at various course-specific checkpoints throughout the counseling program. During regular Town Hall meetings, Residency, and advising sessions, counseling faculty are reminding students of the importance of professional dispositions, and the fact that they are being evaluated.

Student Learning Outcome 4	Assessment	Benchmark	Process (Who, How, When, Where)
Students will demonstrate knowledge c	of their specialty area		
School counseling concentration	COUN 786 Project Grade	8	Instructor for 786 will collect data at the end of the semester
	COUN 789 Internship final evaluation by site supervisor		Instructor for 789 will collect data at the end of the semester
	COUN 790 Internship final evaluation by site supervisor		Instructor for 790 will collect data at the end of the semester
	Praxis Professional School Counselor exam	Reach the TN DOE required score to be licensed as a school counselor	23 of 25 students passed the Praxis on their first attempt.
Clinical Mental Health concentration	COUN 725 final exam	8	Instructor for 725 will collect data at the end of the semester
	COUN 750 final exam	e	Instructor for 750 will collect data at the end of the semester
	COUN 760 Interview Project	0 1 5	Instructor for 760 will collect data at the end of the semester
	COUN 788 Internship final evaluation by site supervisor		Instructor for 788 will collect data at the end of the semester
	COUN 792 Internship final evaluation by site supervisor		Instructor for 792 will collect data at the end of the semester
	Comprehensive Exam	70% or better on comprehensive exam	See data earlier in the report

DATA RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

School counseling students' knowledge of their specialty area is assessed at multiple points in the program using multiple measures. The first key performance indicator (KPI) of achieving this objective occurs in COUN 786 Organization and Administration of School Counseling. Students in this course are required to complete a multiple part project in which they develop a Comprehensive School Counseling Program. They must pass the Praxis Professional School Counseling Exam at the required score for licensure in Tennessee prior to being allowed to take their first field placement course, COUN 785 Practicum in Counseling. Finally students demonstrate knowledge of school counseling as they complete the two required internships.

Measuring Point	KPI	Measuring Unit	Results
COUN 786	Project Grade	Passing grade	All students received a
			passing grade on their
			project. Spring 2022: 18
			students (of which 17 of
			18 students earned a score
			of 85% or higher)

Praxis Professional School Counseling Exa	m Overall Score	Meet Tennessee required score for licensure (156)	23 of 25 students passed the Praxis on their first attempt.
COUN 789 Internship i Secondary School Counseling	n Final Evaluation by site supervisor	Receiving a rating of "Beginning" or better on all items	All students, completing both COUN 789 and COUN 790, were
COUN 790 Internship i Elementary School Counseling	n Final Evaluation by site supervisor	Receiving a rating of "Beginning" or better on all items	recommended by their site supervisors. Fall 2021: 13 students. Spring 2022: 21 students
al Mental health students' knowledge of			
Measuring Point	KPI	Measuring Unit	Results
COUN 725	Final Exam	Final exam grade	All students received a passing grade on their exam. Fall 2020: 6 students. Spring 2021: 11
COUN 750	Final Exam	Final exam grade	All students received a passing grade on their exam. Spring 2022: 19 students
COUN 760	Interview Project	Grade for the project	All students received a passing grade on their project. Spring 2020: 18 students
COUN 788	Final Evaluation by site supervisor	Receiving a rating of "Beginning" or better on all items	All students were recommended by their site supervisors. (Fall 2021: 0 students enrolled). Spring 2022: 5 students; and Summer 2022: 5 students.
COUN 792	Final Evaluation by site supervisor	Receiving a rating of "Beginning" or better on all items.	All students were recommended by their site supervisors. Fall 2021: 3 students; Spring 2022: 3 students; and

	Comprehensive Exam	Completion of comp exam	Final score	See the results earlier in the report			
How has the data been used to implement a change or to inform a decision?							
Students have demor	strated proficiency in their c	oncentration via multiple m	easures across multiple	points of time in the program. Progran	n faculty will continue		
	n these areas and consider cl			points of time in the program. Trogram	Tracuity will continue		

Summary of overall program activity:

The CACREP Self-Study was completed during Summer 2022. The Self-Study and application for accreditation were submitted in July 2022. CACREP will conduct an initial review of the Self-Study to determine whether the program meets the requirements for taking the next step in the process: the scheduling of a site visit. After the initial review the program may be asked to submit an addendum to address any issues CACREP has questions about. It may take up to 18 months for a site visit to be scheduled.

The counseling program also conducted an extensive item analysis of its comprehensive exam. Each of the 8 sections of the exam was reviewed by the counseling faculty member who teaches the course(s) that address those areas. Essay questions for each of the two counseling concentrations (school counseling and clinical mental health counseling) were created along with rubrics to aid grading. Each essay took the form of a case study that allowed students to demonstrate their knowledge of case conceptualization, legal/ethical issues, diagnosis (for clinical mental health students), treatment modalities, and the use of other resources. The rubrics were shared with students who registered for the comp exam to help them prepare. Consideration was given to the amount of time it would take students to complete a detailed essay question. Five questions were removed from each of the eight sections of the comp. A total of 120 multiple choice questions were included in the new version of the comp. The same amount of time was chosen for completion of the comp, four hours. The first administration of the new comp exam will take place in Fall 2022. Counseling faculty will review the results of the comp over the next year and determine if further revisions need to be made.

Program faculty and students remained active with several new initiatives. The COVID pandemic highlighted the need to focus on faculty and student wellbeing. This has been a stressful time for everyone as they adjust to COVID restrictions and live through political and social unrest. Monthly virtual group meetings were begun in Fall 2020 to check in with students and to provide peer support. These meetings were led by students enrolled in internship and designed to strengthen student connections, allow for mentoring by experienced students, and impact student retention. Faculty would attend these meetings to facilitate the opening of the meeting. Students would break up into smaller groups without faculty present. Then at the end of the meeting students would come back together in a larger group to meet with faculty again. Student attendance was low, but loyal. Those who attended would come back. A change in how these meetings were titled and marketed was implemented. Student leaders were allowed to devise the name for the meetings, and the description of the meetings. Another new initiative begun in Spring 2021 was continued this academic year: a monthly professional development webinar hosted by individual faculty members. These topics were chosen to highlight specific issues relevant to clinical mental health or school counseling. Site supervisors and adjuncts were invited to attend also. Attendance at these webinars was strong and faculty are excited to continue these meetings in the future. Counseling faculty were asked to conduct a webinar for the University of Tennessee Symposium on Integrating High Impact Practices into Online, Hybrid, and Distance Learning. And a professional development webinar for Williamson County school counselors was conducted in the Summer 2022.